

Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences



GUIDELINES FOR THE STYLE AND CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR PHD THESES AND DISSERTATIONS

1. The subject and aim of the dissertation

The subject of the dissertation is a scientific task connected to the selected PhD programme that has sufficient financial and personal capacities. Strictly confidential matters cannot be regarded as potential topics for the dissertation.

The aim of dissertation is to:

- prove that the PhD student possesses the overall knowledge of the field of their studies required to earn a PhD title and is familiar with and able to use the information techniques and instruments and has a comprehensive view as well as a set of tools for solving problems
- prove the student's ability to solve a particular scientific programme.
- produce a dissertation in a form which meets the requirements for content and style.

2. Content requirements of the dissertation

The general content requirements of a dissertation are as follows:

- It deals with a topical scientific problem according to the present level of scientific/ technical development and practical methods.
- It includes a description of the topic, an analytical and critical literature review and the objectives.
- It includes the required analyses (materials and methods, required models, experiment(s), examinations, tests, studies, computer programmes, calculation(s) and a detailed description of measurements including the conditions of reproducibility, data collection and processing, etc.) and illustrative tools (graphs, drawings, tables).
- It draws a conclusion on the basis of the work done outlining its and novel scientific achievements.
- It includes consequences and suggestions for practical usage and/or further research directions concerning the topic.
- It includes a bibliography and, if necessary, documents as a proof of results.
- It includes an English summary.
- It should be the own work of the PhD student of the proper high standard expected.

The structure of the dissertation should be as follows:

- Contents (using decimal numbering to denote chapters, sub-chapters, sections and the numbered appendices, if any)
- List of legend and abbreviations if necessary due to their volume
- Introduction (the importance of the topic, problems to solve)
- Objectives to achieve
- Literature overview
- Materials and methods

- Results
- Discussion of results (if justified, results can be combined with a chapter)
- Conclusions and recommendations
- New scientific results
- Summary (a short summary in English of the introduction, literature review, results, conclusions and recommendations)-
 - – Attachments: all materials that are absolutely necessary for the processing of the topic, but that would affect the clarity due to their size if placed in-text, should be included as attachments – data processing and/or calculations necessary for the result, computer programs, flowcharts, photographs, document collections, etc. – as well as folded drawings larger than the size of the dissertation;
 - Acknowledgements, if any;
 - List of publications published in the field of the dissertation;
 - Appendices:
 - Appendix 1. “Declaration of students and doctoral students on the application of artificial intelligence (AI)” according to the university’s current artificial intelligence regulations.
 - Appendix 2. “Artificial intelligence (AI) prompt diary for researchers, students and doctoral students” according to the university’s current artificial intelligence regulations.

3. Style requirements

The dissertation has to be prepared with double-sided pages using a word processor with the following criteria:

- Size: A4
- bound in false leather (dark green or black)
- Margins: 2 cm except on the left: 2.5 cm
- Font type and size: Times New Roman 12 aligned (it can differ in the case of tables, pictures, figures)
- Length: at least 70-maximum 120 pages in natural sciences and at least-100-at most 150 pages in social sciences without appendices

Some important requirements/information regarding the running text:

- The text of the dissertation is subject to the spelling rules of the language of the dissertation. In the case of a dissertation in Hungarian, the currently valid spelling rules of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences are always applicable.
- Chapter titles should be written in uppercase and centered, and decimal subdivisions, preferably no more than four, should be written in lowercase and left-aligned.
- The concepts and quantities used (name, symbol), as well as other notations should comply with generally accepted domestic and international professional practice, and in the event of a risk of ambiguity, they should be explained at the first occurrence and, if necessary, in the list of abbreviations.
- Abbreviations should preferably not be used in the running text (except for parentheses): e.g., *ibid.*, or. If necessary, the abbreviations in the text should be uniform.
- Hungarian punctuation must be used in Hungarian text, paying particular attention to the difference between the hyphen and the hyphen (– Alt 0150) and the quotation marks (“...” Alt 0132; Alt 0148). We use a hyphen in from-to relationships, for example: 118–129. p.; 1998–1999 and also between author names: Kovács–Tóth or Kovács–Tóth-Tamás, but: Kovács Béla – Tóth Ákos; Kovács Béla – Tóth-Tamás Eszter.

- The use of different fonts within the text should be avoided.
- The use of boldface and underlines should be avoided in the text; if it is absolutely necessary to use emphasis, italics are recommended.
- Emphasis should only be used when justified, in which case the emphasized words should be italicized together with the suffixes.
- Quotation marks should only be used to highlight quotes. Titles in the text should also be italicized if possible.
- There is no space before punctuation marks (period, comma, semicolon, colon, exclamation mark, question mark), but there is always one after them.
- There is no space before the % sign, but there is one after it.
- Spaces should be used before and after the +, –, = signs.
- Numbers under 10 should be written in letters in the running text, if possible.
- Numbers above 10,000 must be separated by threes counting backwards, the separation must be indicated by a space, and periods must not be used. For example: 18,000,000. In continuous text, numbers up to 9999 must not be separated by a space.
- The use of units of measurement must comply with the provisions of the relevant legislation in force.
- Figures and tables must be prepared in such a way that they are understandable on their own and must be placed in the appropriate place within the text, except for tables containing detailed data and/or detailed calculations, which must be provided in the annex(es) if necessary. The Arabic numerals and titles of the figures must be placed at the bottom (centered), and those of the tables must be placed at the top (left aligned). In all cases, reference must be made in the text to the appropriate figure or table.

The *Bibliography* must be compiled with the necessary bibliographic data elements according to Annex 3. References to the literature sources used must always be made at the appropriate place in the text.

The binding order is as follows: outer cover, inner title page with back cover, table of contents, text, bibliography, additional appendices, if any: the acknowledgment, and finally a list of publications published on the topic.

Outer cover: Center: Doctoral (PhD) thesis, Bottom center one below the other: Name, Doctoral School seat, Year. The inner title page differs from the outer cover. Top center: MATE Logo, written below Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences. Center: title of the thesis. Bottom center one below the other: Name, Doctoral School seat, Year. A sample for the inner title page can be found in Appendix 1, and a sample for the back of the inner title page can be found in Appendix 2.

About the DOI identifier:

According to the amendment to the Higher Education Act effective from 1 January 2013, “Doctoral dissertations and theses shall be made available to everyone in electronic form in the Hungarian Library of Scientific Works, with a (DOI) identifier in accordance with generally accepted international practice.” (Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education, Section 53/A. § 2. [online] 26. 02. 2015.) Accordingly, the dissertations are assigned a DOI identifier registered with CrossRef on the title page of the dissertations and theses by the University Library and Archives Directorate (hereinafter EKLI).

A dissertation cannot be submitted for defense if the DOI identifiers of the cited publications are not indicated in the bibliography according to the attached formal requirements (see Annex 3).

The DOI identifier of the dissertation is activated (registered in CrossRef) after the defense and the award of the doctoral title with the assistance of EKLI staff. This also requires that the

authors indicate the DOI identifier of the cited works (if any) in the bibliography of the dissertation.

4 The Theses

The text of the title page made of white cardboard is the same as the text of the outer cover of the thesis, but instead of the text "Doctoral thesis", the text "Theses of the doctoral thesis" should be included. Inner title page: Upper middle: MATE Logo, underneath is written Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences. In the middle is the title of the thesis. Bottom middle below each other: Name, Gödöllő, Year. The back of the inner title page can be found in Appendix 2.

Size: A5,

Binding: paper (white),

Margin: 2 cm, on the left: 2.5 cm,

Font type and size: Times New Roman 12, aligned (different in the case of figures and tables)

The work should be divided as follows:

- Background of the work and its aims.
- Materials and methods.
- Results and discussion
- Conclusion and recommendations
- New scientific results
- The publications of the author in the research field (books, articles, lectures, posters, etc.)

Theses must also be submitted in English or in another foreign language accepted by the school.

5. Submission

The following documents have to be submitted:

- The dissertation in **2 copies** (printed, intertwined and bound with the original signatures). For information **on a different number of copies** please see Appendix 1 'Notes'.
- ‘Theses’ in English in **10 copies** (printed, intertwined and bound with the photocopied signatures, 10 copies in the case of online defence.
- The dissertation and its theses in English must be sent to the Doctoral and Habilitation Centre **electronically in pdf files**.

6. The official review of the dissertation

The dissertation will be evaluated by one internal and one external reviewer with respect to its format and content. Based on these reviews, the dissertation will or will not be allowed for defence. If any of the two reviews is negative, a third review has to be made.

Appendix 3 contains the recommended key aspects of review.

The candidate must respond to the opinion of the reviewers in writing before the public defence, which has to be submitted to the Doctoral and Habilitation Centre. Public defence can only be organised after receiving the official replies to the reviewers’ questions.

Appendix 1. Sample for the internal title page



[Title]
[Name]

[Location of the studies]
[YEAR]

Appendix 1. Sample of the back side of the internal title page

The PhD School

Name:.....

Discipline:

Head: Name
 Lecturer's/researcher's position, scientific degree/title
 MATE, Institute/Department of

Supervisor(s): Name
 Lecturer's/researcher's position, scientific degree/title
 Name of organisation (university, research institute etc.)
 Name of the organisational unit if necessary

The PhD Program

Head: Name
 Lecturer's/researcher's position, scientific degree/title
 MATE, Institute/Department of

.....
Approval of the Head of Doctoral Program

.....
Approval of the Supervisor(s)

I, the undersigned,, author of the thesis entitled
“.....”,
.....”,
hereby declare that, in preparing this thesis, I have acted in accordance with the current Code
of Ethics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (MTA), and with full knowledge of and
possession of the research standards and permits required in my field of study.
Where the thesis contains ideas or results that are not my own, I have clearly and appropriately
identified and acknowledged them in the thesis.

.....
Doctoral Candidate

Appendix 3. Compiling the Bibliography and Referencing

When using sources, the Harvard referencing style is mandatory. In-text references (author–year system) shall be made as follows:

- Within the main body of the publication, the surname of the cited author and the year in which the source was published shall be given in parentheses: (Molnár, 2018).
- When quoting verbatim, the page number shall also be included in the parentheses: (Molnár, 2018: 21). If the quoted passage extends over several consecutive pages, an en dash shall be used between the page numbers, for example: (Molnár, 2018: 256–257).
- When referring to one’s own previous publication, the author’s surname shall be used instead of the word “I”.
- For a work by multiple authors, the following forms shall be used: (Kovács–Szabó, 2012: 11), (Bárczy–Benkő–Berrár, 2002), or (Bárczy et al. 2002).
- When referring to an idea drawn from several authors, the same referencing format shall be used, but a semicolon shall be placed between the individual authors and publication years, for example: (Szabó, 2002: 123; Szabó, 2011: 27).
- When citing several works by the same author published in the same year, the different works shall be distinguished alphabetically by adding letters to the year. For example: (Molnár, 2018a).
- In the case of an edited volume, the reference shall take the following form: (szerk. Hudson–Bolton 1997). The use of this type of reference should be avoided where possible, and preference should be given to identifying the individual authors.
- When the first bibliographic element—generally the author’s surname or surnames—forms part of the sentence, only the year shall be given in parentheses.

References of this type must always be supported by a precise reference apparatus; that is, the works cited must be traceable through detailed bibliographic descriptions provided in the bibliography at the end of the text. In the chapter entitled “Bibliography”, all sources used shall be described in detail. For example, the abbreviation “et al.” shall not be used in the bibliography for works with multiple authors; the abbreviated in-text reference must be expanded here by listing all authors.

If any of the following bibliographic elements are unavailable, the following abbreviations shall be used for publications in Hungarian and other languages:

- Place of publication unknown: [H. n.], meaning “Hely nélkül” (“without place”); or [S. l.], meaning *Sine loco*.
- Publisher unknown: [K. n.], meaning “kiadó nélkül” (“without publisher”); or [S. n.], meaning *sine nomine*.
- Year of publication unknown: [N. a.], meaning “nincs adat” (“no data”); or [S. a.], meaning *sine anno*.
- In the case of an unknown author, the expressions “N. m.” or “Anonym” shall not be used in place of the author’s name. In such cases, the title shall constitute the first bibliographic element, and its first word—excluding any initial article—shall be taken into account when arranging the entries alphabetically.

In the case of websites, it is often the case that neither the author nor the date of publication of the displayed content can be determined. The citation of such sources should be avoided wherever possible.

Only those sources that are actually cited in the main text shall be included in the “Bibliography” chapter. The bibliography may be compiled using any reference management software, provided that it complies with the formal requirements set out below.

The entries in the bibliography shall be arranged according to the rules of alphabetical ordering. If several works by the same author are used, the year of publication shall determine the order, and the entries shall be arranged chronologically in ascending order. In such cases, the author’s single-authored works shall appear first, followed by their co-authored works, in chronological order.

The academic degree or title of the author(s) (e.g. Dr., Academician), as well as their occupational position (e.g. Head of Department), shall not be included in the bibliography.

Bibliographic elements (such as corporate author, journal title, place of publication, publisher name, etc.) may only be abbreviated if doing so does not compromise identification. In the case of journal titles, internationally accepted abbreviations (see Web of Science, Scopus, PubMed) may be used.

In the case of electronic sources, the DOI (Digital Object Identifier) of the cited publications shall be provided in the bibliography. The DOI, where available, is a mandatory bibliographic element.

Traditional and internet sources shall not be separated into different sections of the bibliography. For any printed source, a link to its electronic (e.g. repository) version may also be provided. If a given source has been published both in print and electronically, the bibliographic details of the primary version shall always be provided.

Only internet sources referring to websites, databases, etc. that have been used shall be compiled in a separate list. In such cases, the cited source is the title of the website, and the author does not need to be indicated. However, the bibliographic entry must also include, in addition to the URL, the name of the website and the date of last access. If a reference is made to specific content available on a website, the entry shall be included among the other sources with an author-based citation.

Sources in foreign languages shall be listed under their original titles; however, they shall be cited and included in the bibliography in the same manner as sources in Hungarian.

The bibliographic elements of individual books and periodicals, as well as parts thereof, shall be presented according to the following examples.

1. Bibliographic Elements of Books

Author(s) and/or editor(s) (or the organization/society if no author is indicated; or the title if neither an author nor an organization is indicated) (year of publication/edition): *title* (in italics). Place of publication (city): Publisher. DOI (if available).

Examples:

One or more authors:

- Gyurgyák J. (2019): *A tudományos írás alapjai: Útmutató a tudományos diákköri értekezést, szakdolgozatot és disszertációt íróknak*. Budapest: Osiris.
- Kemény S. – Papp L. – Deák A. (1999): *Statisztikai minőség- (megfelelőség-) szabályozás*. Budapest: Műszaki Könyvkiadó – Magyar Minőség Társaság.
- Marselek S. (2006): *Agrár-közgazdaságtan*. Budapest: FVM Képzési és Szaktanácsadási Intézet.
- McNitt, J. I. – Lukefahr, S. D. – Cheeke, P. R. – Patton, N. M. (2013): *Rabbit Production*. 9th Edition. Boston: CABI.

Corporate author:

- Magyar Tudományos Akadémia (2015): *A magyar helyesírás szabályai*. Tizenkettedik kiadás. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- KSH (2009): *Magyar Statisztikai Évkönyv 2008*. Budapest: KSH.

Edited book:

- Bárányné Szabadkai Éva – Mihalik István (szerk.) (2002): *Közgazdasági helyesírási szótár: Szakszavak, kifejezések, szókapcsolatok és rövidítések gyűjteménye*. Budapest: Tinta Kiadó.

References to edited books should be avoided; instead, reference should always be made to a book chapter or scholarly study written by an individual author (see below).

2. Book Chapter

References shall be formatted in the same way as references to books, but both the author of the chapter and the author(s)/editor(s) of the book must be indicated. Unlike references to books, page numbers must always be provided, and these must refer specifically to the cited chapter or book section. An en dash (Alt 0150) shall be used between the first and last page numbers to indicate the page range. In the case of a book chapter, the title of the containing volume (host document) shall always be given in italics.

Examples:

- Nilsson, A. (2020): Landscape Observatory Västra Götaland. In: Bujdosó, Z. – Dinya L. – Csernák J. (szerk.): *XVII. Nemzetközi Tudományos Napok – Abstract Book: 17th International Scientific Days – Abstract Book*. Gyöngyös: EKE Líceum Kiadó, p. 66.
- Takács I. (2008): A vállalkozások elemzése. In: Nábrádi A. – Pupos T. – Takácsné György K. (szerk.): *Üzemtan I*. Budapest: Szaktudás Kiadóház, pp. 126–141.

If a conference paper published in a book is cited, it shall be referenced in the same manner as a book chapter.

3. Journal Article

Author(s) (year of publication): title of the article. *Title of the journal*, volume and issue number, page numbers. If page numbers are not available, the article identifier and the length of the article shall be provided. DOI (if available).

Examples:

- Pang, W.-J. – Yu, T.-Y. – Bai, L. – Yang, Y.-J. – Yang, G.-Sh. (2009): Tissue expression of porcine FoxO1 and its negative regulation during primary preadipocyte differentiation. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*, 36(1), 165–176. DOI: 10.1007/s11033-007-9163-6

- Zhang, B. – Chamba, Y. – Shang, P. – Wang, Z. – Ma, J. – Wang, L. – Zhang, H. (2017): Comparative transcriptomic and proteomic analyses provide insights into the key genes involved in high-altitude adaptation in the Tibetan pig. *Sci. Rep.*, 7(1), Article 3654, 11 p. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-03976-3

Journal titles should preferably only be abbreviated if the same approach can be applied consistently to all journal titles.

4. Doctoral Dissertation

Author (year of completion): *title*. [Type of work: PhD dissertation/DLA dissertation/MTA doctoral dissertation]. Place: Educational institution. DOI or access link (e.g. ODT database).

Example:

- Ács, Zoltán (2016): *Szarvasfélék Dictyocaulus tüdőférgének előfordulási jellemzői és gazdaságilagossága DNS vizsgálatok segítségével*. [PhD dissertation] Kaposvár: Állattenyésztési Tudományok Doktori Iskola. DOI: 10.17166/KE2016.010

5. Electronic Sources

Such publications may only be cited if they can be located using computer-based search tools. Where possible, the same bibliographic elements shall be provided, in the same order, as shown in the examples above, depending on the type of source. If a DOI is available, it shall be provided. In addition, the date of last access and the URL shall always be indicated.

Publication from a Website/Online Portal

Author(s) (year of publication): *title of the study*. Publishing institution/website. Date of access. Source: URL

Examples:

- MNB (2020): *A jegybanki alapkamat alakulása*. MNB website. Date of access: 2021. 03. 31. Source: https://www.mnb.hu/Jegybanki_alapkamat_alakulasa
- *Eurócsatlakozás: puszta szavak* (2016): *Az Én Pénzem*. Date of access: 2021. 03. 31. Source: <https://www.azenzem.hu/cikkek/eurocsatlakozas-pusztaszavak/3366/>

Electronic Book/Book Chapter (E-book)

Example:

- Barna R. – Horváthné Kovács B. – Nagy J. (2021): Drón repülések vizsgálata a szarvasfarmon. In: Horváthné Kovács B. – Barna R. (eds.): *Agrárerdészet a vidékfejlesztés gyakorlatában*. Kaposvár: Magyar Agrár- és Élettudományi Egyetem Kaposvári Campus, 2021. pp. 99–107. Date of access: 2021. 10. 25. Source: http://kea.ke.hu/50/1/Agr%C3%A1rerd%C3%A9szet_Tanulmányok%C3%A1nyk%C3%B6tet_2021.pdf

Digitized Book

Author(s) (year of publication): *title of the e-book*. Original publication details: place: publisher (if available). [Electronic edition.] Place of publication: Publishing institution. Extent (if determinable). Date of access. Source: URL.

Examples:

- Daruka, M. (2020): *Intézményi közgazdaságtan*. Budapest: Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem. [Electronic edition.] Budapest: Tankönyvtár. Date of access: 2021. 04. 02. Source: <http://dtk.tankonyvtar.hu/xmlui/handle/123456789/13317>
- Orosz R. (1991): Kautz Gyula munkássága és helye a közgazdasági gondolkodás történetében. In: Mihalik I. (ed.): *Magyar közgazdászok arcképvázatai*. Budapest: Közgazdaságtudományi Egyetem PTK (Közgazdaságtani (f)irkák, 68.) [Electronic edition.] Budapest: Neumann Kht., 2005. Date of access: 2021. 04. 01. Source: <http://mek.oszk.hu/03100/03176> [2018. 01. 24.]

Article in an Electronic Journal

Author(s) (year of publication): title of the study/article. *Title of the journal*, volume and issue number or date of online publication. Page numbers (if available). Date of access. Source: URL or DOI (if a DOI is available, it is sufficient to provide the DOI).

Example:

- Boros E. – Sztanó G. (2021): The evolution of European bailout arrangements and its impact on sovereign bond yields in the aftermath of the euro crisis. *Society and Economy*, 2021. 03. 07. DOI: 10.1556/204.2020.00024

If a printed publication is also available electronically, the bibliographic details of the printed version should be given primarily. The date of last access and the electronic access route may then be provided.

Examples:

- Bodor B. – Tóth M. – Szépné Péterfy Beatrix – Szigeti Cecília (eds.) (2011): *Elektronikus ökológiai és karbonlábnyom kalkulátorok*. Győr: CG & Partners Kutató és Tanácsadó Kft. (Fenntarthatósági Füzetek V.) Date of access: 2021. 10. 02. Source: https://iffegyesulet.hu/aas_szoveg/file/181_elektronikus_okologiai_es_karbonlabnyom_kalkulatorok_fenntarthatosagi_fuzetek_v.pdf
- Csaba L. (2007): Átmenet vagy spontán rend(etlenség)? *Közgazdasági Szemle*, 54(9), 757–773. Date of access: 2021. 01. 31. Source: <http://epa.oszk.hu/00000/00017/00140/pdf/1csaba.pdf> [2008. 03. 11.]
- Tabi, A. – Csutora, M. (2012): Representing forest management dilemmas in the ecological footprint indicator. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 10(1), 65–73. Date of access: 2021. 10. 09. Source: http://aloki.hu/pdf/1001_065073.pdf

Publication Appearing on a CD-ROM

Example:

- Vargáné, S. Sz. – Kozák, J. – Varga, S. – Karsainé, K. M. (2000): Effect parent body weight on reproduction and progeny growth and liver production geese. [pp. 1–3.] In: *Abstracts and Proceedings. XXI. World's Poultry Congress*. Montreal, Canada, August 20–24, 2000. [CD:\Abstracts\aug24\KOZAK_1]

Appendix 4. Recommended Structure and Main Criteria for the Official Review

I. General Section

Formal Evaluation

- A1.** Binding and order of binding, length of the dissertation
- A2.** Whether a table of contents with decimal numbering is provided
- A3.** Whether an alphabetically arranged bibliography is provided and whether it contains references to the individual sources
- A4.** Presentation and formatting of the text, figures, tables, and other appendices

Based on the reviewer's findings, a decision shall be made as to whether the evaluation should continue or whether the dissertation should be rejected due to serious formal deficiencies or errors.

Structural Evaluation

- S1.** Whether the structural organization complies with the order specified in the "Guidelines"
- S2.** Whether the text is adequately structured (i.e. whether the individual stages of the treatment of the topic are clearly separated and whether chapter, subchapter, and section titles appear in the appropriate places)

Content Evaluation

- T1.** Whether the significance of the topic has been assessed appropriately
- T2.** Whether the objective(s) stated in the "Introduction" were appropriate and whether they have been achieved
- T3.** Whether the (sub)objective(s) formulated in the "Introduction" were appropriate and whether they have been fully addressed
- T4.** The extent to which the literature relevant to the topic and the formulated (sub)objective(s) is known and used appropriately
- T5.** Whether the necessary analyses and investigations (measurements, data processing, etc.) and calculations have been carried out, and whether the necessary models, figures, diagrams, tables, etc. have been prepared
- T6.** Whether the necessary evaluations have been carried out, and whether there are new scientific findings, conclusions, and recommendations
- T7.** Whether there are any professionally questionable solutions, evaluations, results, conclusions, or recommendations and, if so, to what extent these affect the work as a whole
- T8.** The potential for utilization (practical applicability) and possibilities for further development of the topic
- T9.** Whether the dissertation can be regarded as the candidate's independent work at doctoral level

II. Detailed Section

A detailed list of the following shall be provided:

- H1.** Identified deficiencies, specifying their nature and/or content
- H2.** Identified errors, specifying their nature and/or content, together with the relevant page number, paragraph, and/or line(s)

III. Statement

A list of the new scientific findings accepted by the reviewer, together with any recommendation to merge or modify them.

The reviewer shall state whether they consider the dissertation suitable for submission to a public defense. In the case of a negative assessment, a detailed scientific justification must be provided.

IV. Questions

In the case of acceptance, 2–5 questions shall be formulated for the doctoral candidate to answer at the public defense. The questions shall relate to the topic and, primarily, to substantive and significant objections raised in the review.

V. Format of the Review

The official review shall be submitted to the Doctoral and Habilitation Centre in one printed, original, signed copy and electronically, as a scanned PDF file sent by email.