

Registration number.: MATE-K/3585-1/2025.

Institutional identification: FI51129



HUNGARIAN UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND LIFE SCIENCES

DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF ECONOMIC AND REGIONAL SCIENCES

(DSERS)

OPERATIONAL REGULATIONS

(The requirements of these regulations apply to doctoral students who commenced their studies after 01 September 2025)

The Doctoral School Operating Regulations were prepared on the basis of the legislation in force on September 1, 2025, the Doctoral Regulations of the Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences, and the guidelines of the National Doctoral Council and the Hungarian Accreditation Committee, and are valid in accordance with them.

Gödöllő - Kaposvár

2025

Table of contents

Particulars of the doctoral school.....	4
PREAMBLE	5
PART 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS	6
1.1 Subject matter and scope of the Regulations	6
PART 2: THE ORGANISATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL.....	6
2.1 Head of the Doctoral School	6
2.2 Deputy Head of the Doctoral School	1
2.3 Doctoral Programme Director	1
2.4 Council of the Doctoral School of a Field of Science (CDSFS)	2
2.5 Core members of the doctoral school.....	3
2.6 Instructors and supervisors of the doctoral school	4
2.7 Course leader.....	6
2.8 Scientific secretary of the Doctoral School.....	6
2.9 Programme Council of the Doctoral School (PCDS)	7
2.10 Scientific Secretary of the DI Programme Council.....	7
2.11 The Research Ethics Committee of the Doctoral School.....	8
2.12 Communication of the Doctoral School	8
PART 3: THE DOCTORAL TRAINING.....	9
3.1 Application, admission procedure.....	9
3.2 Admission decision	11
3.3 Doctoral training.....	11
3.3.1 Subjects in the doctoral training	11
3.3.2 The structured training programme.....	12
3.3.3 The complex examination.....	13
3.3.4 Absolutorium (pre-degree certificate)	14
3.4 Suspension and termination of student status	14
3.5 Individual preparation for a doctoral degree. Transfer from another doctoral school	15
3.6 Topic announcement, change of supervisor and topic	16

PART 4: OBTAINING THE DOCTORAL DEGREE	17
4.1 Obtaining the doctoral degree	17
4.2 The doctoral dissertation	18
4.3 Public disputation.....	20
4.4 Completion of the degree award procedure, classification of the doctoral degree, content of the doctoral diploma, doctoral conferral, conferral of honorary doctorates, revocation of doctoral degrees.....	22
4.5 Language requirements	23
4.6 The habilitation procedure.....	23
PART 5: OTHER PROVISIONS	23
5.1 Quality assurance	24
5.2 Joint doctoral programme with a foreign university.....	25
5.3 Management of the Doctoral School	25
6 Final provisions	25
<i>Annex 1</i>	26
<i>Annex 2</i>	28

Particulars of the doctoral school

The name of the doctoral school:

Doctoral School of Economic and Regional Sciences

Headquarters:

Hungarian University of Agricultural and Life Sciences
Hungarian Agricultural University of Hungary.
Páter Károly u 1.
7400 Kaposvár, Guba Sándor utca 40.

Head:

Prof. Dr. Zoltán Bujdosó, Professor

Core members:

Prof. Dr. Zoltán Lakner, Professor, Doctor of HAS
Prof. Dr. Zoltán Bujdosó, Professor
Prof. Dr. Zsuzsanna Bacsí, Professor
Prof. Dr. József Varga, University Professor
Prof. Dr. Viktória Szente, Professor
Prof. Dr. Ágoston Temesi, Professor
Prof. Dr. Anikó Khademi-Vidra, Professor
Dr. habil. Krisztián Ritter, Associate Professor
Dr. habil. Anett Parádi-Dolgos, Associate Professor
Dr. Csaba Borbély, Associate Professor
Prof. Dr. Lajos Szabó, Professor Emeritus, Doctor of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Secretary:

Dr. Kinga Szabó, Associate Professor

The Operational Regulations of the Doctoral School of Economic and Regional Sciences (OR of DSERS) are based on the following legal sources and regulations:

- Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education (hereinafter: Nftv.)
- Government Decree No. 87/2015 (IV. 9.) on the implementation of certain provisions of Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education (hereinafter referred to as the "Act on National Higher Education")
- Government Decree No. 387/2012 (XII. 19.) on doctoral schools, the order of doctoral procedures and habilitation
- Government Decree 121/2013 (IV.26) on the Education Office
- and the rules of procedure adopted by Resolution No. 2020/4/VIII of the Hungarian Higher Education Accreditation Committee (hereinafter: MAB)

PREAMBLE

Particulars of the doctoral school:

Name:	Gazdaság- és Regionális Tudományi Doktori Iskola
English name:	Doctoral School of Economics and Regional Sciences
Short name:	GRDI
English short name:	DSERS
Discipline: branches:	Social sciences - Management and Business Administration Sciences - Regional sciences
Programmes:	- Regionális gazdaságfejlesztés és Menedzsment Program - Fenntartható Üzlet és Menedzsment Program - Üzleti Doktori Program
English names of programmes:	- Regional Economic Development and Management Programme - Sustainable Business and Management Programme - Doctor of Business Administration Programme
Title of the degree conferred	- in Hungarian: PhD, Gazdálkodás- és szervezéstudományok és Regionális tudományok, Üzleti Tudományok Doktora - in English: PhD in Management and Business Administration and PhD in Regional Sciences, Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)
Site of operation:	Gödöllő, Kaposvár
Postal address:	2100 Gödöllő, Páter Károly u. 1. 7400 Kaposvár, Guba Sándor u. 40.
Length of training programme	8 semesters
Form of training	full time
Languages of instruction	Hungarian, English
Total credit number	minimum 240 credits in PhD training minimum 180 credits in DBA training

PART 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1 Subject matter and scope of the Regulations

- (1) Doctoral studies shall prepare students for the conferment of a doctoral degree in the discipline of management and business administration or regional sciences and shall lead to the conferment of a doctoral degree (Doctor of Philosophy - PhD in Management and Business Administration, PhD in Regional Sciences, Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)).
- (2) The name of the doctoral school shall be the Doctoral School of Economics and Regional Sciences (hereinafter referred to as the Doctoral School, DS).
- (3) The language of doctoral studies: Hungarian and English
- (4) Forms of doctoral training: full-time state scholarships and self-financed

PART 2: THE ORGANISATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

2. §

- (1) The Doctoral School (DS) shall operate under the direct supervision of the Rector, with full professional autonomy.
- (2) The DS is subordinate to the MATE University Doctoral and Habilitation Council (UDHC), which oversees the training and procedures of the doctoral school.

3. §

2.1 Head of the Doctoral School

- (1) The DS shall be managed by the Head of the DS. The Head of the DS shall be appointed by the Rector from among the members of the DS, on the recommendation of the majority of the members, for a term of up to five years, in accordance with Article 5(1) of the University Doctoral Regulations. The appointment may be renewed several times.
- (2) The current head and core members of the DS are listed in the general data of these rules.
- (3) The responsibilities of the Head of the DS, in addition to those set out in the University Doctoral Regulations, are as follows.
 - a) The Head is responsible for the management of the DS Council and is responsible for the implementation of its decisions;

- b) coordinates and is responsible for the quality of the professional work, operates the quality assurance system, prepares an annual self-evaluation to assess the effectiveness of the DS and submits it to the UDHC;
- c) The Head represents the DS, and is personally involved in promoting DS trainings at home and abroad;
- d) coordinates the preparation for the DS accreditation and manages the successful completion of the accreditation work;
- e) manages the ongoing review of the rules of procedure, and is responsible for ensuring that they are fully enforced;
- f) participates in the work of the UDHC;
- g) is responsible for the use of the doctoral training grant per school and other financial resources awarded by the DS in accordance with the University's management regulations;
- h) countersigns the minutes and notes of Council meetings.

2.2 Deputy Head of the Doctoral School

4. §

The head of the DS may elect a deputy head of the DS. The requirements and tasks imposed on the deputy head are the same as those applicable to the head of the DS. In the absence of the head of the DS, the deputy may replace him or her at UDHC meetings, but only the head of the DS has voting rights. In the event of the prolonged absence of the head of the DS, at his or her request and on the recommendation of the UDHC, the Rector may appoint a deputy with full powers for the given period.

2.3 Doctoral Programme Director

5. §

(1) The Doctoral School has three doctoral programmes: the Regional Economic Development Management Programme (based in Gödöllő), the Sustainable Business and Management Programme (based in Kaposvár) and the Doctoral Programme for Business Administration (based in Gödöllő) for organisational and logistical reasons, taking into account the cost-effectiveness requirement and the needs of the students.

(2) The Doctoral Programme Director's main tasks are to prepare proposals for the DS and the DS Council

- a) on the doctoral topic for doctoral students;
- b) on approving the doctoral research plans of doctoral students, the courses and their credits;

- c) on the PhD topic announcers, supervisors and DS instructors;
- d) on the conferral of the title of emeritus to its members;
- e) on controlling the use of resources.
- f) on all strategic issues concerning the DS's training programmes and degree acquisition;

2.4 Council of the Doctoral School of a Field of Science (CDSFS)

6. §

(1) The CDSFS is a body that assists the work of the DS leaders and meets regularly, consisting of the programme directors and one elected member per programme. Each programme director also delegates an external (non-MATE) member to the CDSFS. The chair of the CDSFS is the head of the DS, and its secretary is the scientific secretary of the DS.

The Council of the Doctoral School of a Field of Science (hereinafter: CDSFS) is the governing, decision-making and proposing body of the DS and the degree conferment process, which - on the basis of the guidelines of the UDHC - supervises the disciplinary system of doctoral training, degree conferment and habilitation, ensures the quality of the academic degree and the international equivalence of the degrees to be conferred, in accordance with the rules in force.

(2) The DSC shall be a body assisting the Head of the DS, whose members shall be elected by the DS's core members. The DS's ordinary members may elect additional members with academic degrees to the Board. The representative of the Doctoral Students' Self-Government (hereinafter referred to as DSSG) shall be a non-voting member of the DSC.

(3) The tasks and powers of the CDSFS are regulated by Section 5 (4) of the Regulations.

The CDSFS:

- makes recommendations on all DHC proposals
- decides on the acceptance of applications for doctoral procedures and the acceptance of committees
- decides on applications for habilitation procedures and the acceptance of committees
- decides on applications for individual degree procedures
- decides on the distribution of state funds allocated to the DS by program
- decides on credit recognition and complex exam requirements
- decides on the admissions committee
- decides on the comprehensive examination committee,
- decides on the language requirements for admission to doctoral training and degree acquisition,
- approves the persons of doctoral dissertation authors, dissertation supervisors, and doctoral school instructors,
- makes proposals for doctoral topics to be announced,
- approves the announcement of doctoral topics by the doctoral dissertation author,
- approves the doctoral topic of the doctoral student,
- performs performance evaluations during the program,

- makes proposals regarding the members of the core team,
- makes proposals regarding the operating rules, training plan, and quality assurance plan of the CDSFS.

(4) The DSC shall meet and decide on matters within its competence as necessary and in accordance with the meetings of the UDHC, but at least twice a semester.

(5) The head of the DS and its voting members are entitled to vote, except for the election of members of the DSC, where only the DS's core members are entitled to vote. The quorum of the DSC shall be constituted if more than half of its voting members participate in the vote. The DSC normally takes its decisions by simple majority and by open ballot. In the event of a tie, the Chair shall have a casting vote. In justified cases, any member may request a secret ballot, or the Chair may initiate one. The DSC shall take its decisions on voting on personnel matters (except for the approval of individual committee members) by secret ballot. The nominee or nominees receiving the highest number of votes shall be proposed according to the subject of the vote. In the case of more than one tied nominee, the Chair shall decide. In the case of personal issues, the person who

- a) has a direct work relationship (manager-employee relationship in the same institution), or
- b) has a close family relationship, or
- c) has been a scientific co-author in the last three years, or
- d) who, for other reasons, cannot be expected to give an objective ruling may not take part in the decision-making process about the person concerned.

(6) On the proposal of the CDSFS Chair, the CDSFS may, in justified cases, decide by secret ballot by electronic means.

(7) The issues of the agenda for a CDSFS meeting requiring a decision shall be made available to members at least three working days before the meeting. Publication may take place by electronic means. The dates of the meetings, including the agenda, shall be communicated to the members at least 5 working days before the meeting. The deadline for submission of meeting materials to the Secretary of the DS and the UDHC shall be 3 days before the meeting. The minutes of the CDSFS meetings shall be drawn up and the relevant part shall be made public within 10 days after the meeting.

2.5 Core members of the doctoral school

7. §

(1) The conditions for DI core membership are set out in DSZ (2)-(3), which are reviewed annually by the DI director, who, in the event of non-compliance, initiates consultation within the CDSFS on the necessary measures to be taken.

(2) New core members are elected by the CDSFS on the recommendation of the DI leader. The DS leader informs the EDHT chairperson of the new core member. New core members are approved by the EDHT.

(3) The CDSFS may award the title of core member emeritus in accordance with DSZ § 31.

The mandates referred to in Sections 3, 4, 5, and 6 shall terminate:

- a) upon expiry of the mandate,
- b) upon recall by the same procedure as the mandate,
- c) upon resignation of the mandate holder,
- d) upon permanent incapacity or death of the mandate holder.

2.6 Instructors and supervisors of the doctoral school

8. §

(1) The instructors of the DS shall be professors and researchers with a doctoral degree who have taught or conducted research in higher education for at least three years after obtaining the degree. The DS may also include full-time employees with a doctoral degree of a domestic or foreign research institution, university, company or other institution (external instructor) who have concluded a written cooperation agreement with the DS for the purpose of achieving the objectives of the doctoral programme.

(2) DS instructors are listed in the school's NDC (National Doctoral Council) database and, if they teach in more than one DS, they declare on the NDC form the percentage of their share to which DS they belong. DS instructors are expected to teach in a foreign language and to have a record of continuous publication activity in the relevant disciplines and fields.

(3) Members of the DS, those in charge of a subject and instructors may also be appointed as lecturers and course leaders in other doctoral schools, provided that the head of the DS is informed.

(4) A doctoral topic may be announced by a professor or researcher with an academic degree who fulfils the requirements for the position of a supervisor (8§ (5)) and who, on this basis, responsibly guides and supports the studies, research work and preparation for the academic degree of the doctoral student working on the topic. When approving the appointment of a supervisor, the DSC shall take into account the effectiveness of the previous work of the supervisor.

(5) The supervisor may be a full-time staff member of MATE with a teaching or researcher status, or a professional with outstanding academic achievements who has signed a voluntary contract, or a staff member of an institution with a cooperation agreement with MATE for doctoral training with a teaching or researcher status. The supervisor may be a faculty member or research

staff member with a doctoral degree who, after obtaining his/her doctoral degree, has at least two articles with Q1-Q4 ranking or published in Scopus and/or WOS. Within the framework of the DBA programme, a person may be a supervisor if, after submitting their doctoral dissertation, they have published at least four articles in foreign-language journals with a Q1-Q2 rating, in which they appeared as the first, last, or corresponding author. The supervisor must have an active research activity in the last 5 years in the subject area he/she supervises, as documented by publications in the Hungarian Scientific Works Repository (MTMT), and, in the case of a foreign language supervisor, oral and written communication skills in the relevant discipline in a foreign language, which may be verified by the DS in a professional interview with the supervisor in a foreign language. Supervisors are approved by the DSC.

(6) A supervisor may not have more than six doctoral students at the same time, the co-supervisor shall be credited with 0.5.

(7) A co-supervisor may be selected if the doctoral student requires the joint assistance of two experts in the development of the research topic. At the request of the doctoral student, a doctoral student may have up to two supervisors at the same time. Only persons who are employed by MATE or have a voluntary employment contract with MATE to act as a supervisor may be appointed as supervisors. The title page of the doctoral dissertation must clearly indicate the names of the supervisor and the co-supervisor.

(8) If a doctoral student's employment with MATE is terminated prior to the complex examination, the doctoral student shall have the opportunity to find a new supervisor. If the doctoral student is unable to find a supervisor on his/her own, the DSC will decide on the supervisor, and the former supervisor may become a co-supervisor. If, after the doctoral student has passed the complex examination, the supervisor's employment with MATE is terminated, the supervisor must sign a voluntary contract with MATE.

(9) Responsibilities of the supervisor are as follows.

- a) Formulating the research problem, preparing a proposal for a topic description;
- b) Contributing to the final research plan, which is signed and approved;
- c) Communicating DS standards, scientific requirements;
- d) Managing and monitoring the research work. If the doctoral student is late with the tasks he/she has undertaken, fails to complete them continuously (at least once a month), or if there are changes in his/her behaviour that jeopardise the continuation of the work, the supervisor must inform the head of the DS in writing without delay, but no later than within three months. This information shall also be communicated to the students concerned;
- e) Reporting at least once a semester, monitoring and checking the fulfilment of the commitments set out in the research plan;
- f) Proposing the composition of the committee for the preliminary and the public disputation;
- g) Checking full compliance with formal requirements in the preparation of the doctoral dissertation;

- h) Signing a declaration of recognition of the doctoral student's performance, certifying that the dissertation meets the requirements of the DS in terms of content and form;
- i) Preparing the student for the preliminary and public disputation;
- j) Updating details on the NDC data sheet.

2.7 Course leader

9. §

The course leader of a doctoral school may be a full-time professor or associate professor with a doctoral degree, recognised in the topic of the subject.

2.8 Scientific secretary of the Doctoral School

10. §

(1) The Head of the DS shall be assisted in the administrative and operational tasks of the DS by a DS Scientific Secretary. The Scientific Secretary is appointed by the head of the DS.

(2) Duties of the Scientific Secretary of the DS:

- a) Coordinating and cooperating with programme leaders, subject announcers and supervisors;
- b) Organising DSC meetings, drafting DSC meeting resolutions, collecting proposals, drafting meeting minutes;
- c) Preparing the admission procedure, organising the interview, checking the form of the documents submitted, compiling the relevant documentation, preparing the proposal for the decision;
- d) Preparing and organizing the complex exam;
- e) Formal checking of papers submitted for the preliminary disputation;
- f) Formal checking of papers submitted for public disputation;
- g) Contributing to the annual performance evaluation and self-evaluation of the DS;
- h) Contributing to the quality assurance activities of the DS according to the guidelines of the Head of the DS;
- i) Liaising and coordinating with the Centre for Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies and the Directorate of Education;
- j) Liaising with students and supervisors;
- k) Performing the administrative tasks of the DS
- l) Managing DS cases in the doktori.hu database and
- m) Performing any other tasks assigned or requested by the Head of the DS. To coordinate the teaching and scientific activities of the DS, the Head of the DS may appoint foreign programme coordinators and doctoral programme coordinators, who shall work under the authority of the competent programme leader.

(3) If appointed, the tasks of a coordinator of the foreign programme are as follows.

- a) In cooperation with the Head and Scientific Secretary of the DS, the coordinator helps to promote the international profile of the doctoral school;
- b) Manages the incoming students programme abroad;
- c) Prepares the admission procedure: organises the interview, checks the form of the documents submitted, compiles the related documentation, prepares the proposal for the decision;
- d) In the case of the Stipendium Hungaricum programme, the coordinator liaises with the University coordinator and students, records and sends out decisions, manages the application and registration system;
- e) Prepares the necessary data on students (Tempus, diplomatic missions, etc.);
- f) Supports the involvement of international trainers in training, coordinates and implements the related organisational tasks;
- g) Assists in the preparation and organisation of the complex exam in cooperation with the DS Scientific Secretary;
- h) Organises annual reports;
- i) Liaises with the supervisors;
- j) Liaises and coordinates with the Centre for Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies and the Directorate for Education;
- k) Maintains contact with students;
- l) Sends information to the DS website and keeps it up to date; monitors applications for training programmes abroad, assists in the preparation of applications.

2.9 Programme Council of the Doctoral School (PCDS)

11. §

(1) Due to the diversity of its areas of operation, DS runs doctoral programmes. The governing body of the programmes is the Programme Council (PC).

(2) The head of the Programme Council is the program director. The programme director invites internal members (subprogramme directors, if any) and external members (at least 30% of the total number).

(3) The PC makes recommendations on all proposals submitted to the CDSFS.

2.10 Scientific Secretary of the DS Programme Council

12. §

(1) Due to the regional differentiation of DS programmes, in order to ensure efficient operation and information flow, a scientific secretary may be appointed to coordinate education and

research in the field related to DS programmes. The programme manager invites the scientific secretary to join the programme.

(2) Their tasks include

- a) coordinating the doctoral students participating in the doctoral programme and ensuring the flow of information between the doctoral programme director and the doctoral students;
- b) assisting the programme director in his or her work;
- c) managing the e-learning platform for plagiarism in connection with doctoral workshops;
- d) preparing PT meetings;
- e) compiling the minutes of PC meetings;
- f) maintaining contact with the scientific secretary of the CDSFS.

2.11 The Research Ethics Committee of the Doctoral School

13. §

(1) The DS may establish a Research Ethics Committee to perform research ethics tasks. Its chair and members may be members of the CDSFS.

(2) The tasks of the DS Research Ethics Committee are:

- a) reviewing research ethics applications and permits related to publications approved by the head of the DS;
- b) where justified, to forward research ethics applications to the Scientific Research Ethics Committee of the Health Science Council.
- c) keeping a record of research permits issued and publishing them in the Doctoral School's communication system
- d) preparing an annual summary report on research ethics for the CDSFS.

(3) The DS Research Ethics Committee operates according to its own rules of procedure.

(4) Applications to the Research Ethics Committee may be submitted by submitting Appendix 3.

2.12 Communication of the Doctoral School

14. §

(1) The DS provides information about its operations on its website through the following basic documents:

- a) Rules of Procedure;
- b) Training Plan;
- c) Quality Assurance Plan.

(2) The DS provides continuous and regularly updated information on its website about current events and issues related to doctoral training, admission requirements, and the effectiveness of the DS's operations. The DS's scientific secretary is responsible for keeping the DS website up to date and supervises the continuous publication of up-to-date information about the DS on the pages of the www.doktori.hu database. He or she ensures that the supervisors continuously update the www.doktori.hu database at least once a year.

PART 3: THE DOCTORAL TRAINING

3.1 Application, admission procedure

15. §

(1) The general conditions for applying for and admission to training at DS are set out in Chapter 4 of the DR. Doctoral training at the University may be launched in the following forms:

- a) state-funded, full-time, daytime organized training;
- b) fee-based, full-time, daytime organized training;
- c) Cooperative Doctoral Programme training.
- d) Doctoral Programme of Business Administration training

(2) To apply for doctoral training, applicants must meet the minimum requirements specified in Section 10 (2) of the DR.

(3) The DS shall publish the admission opportunities and conditions on the website of the Doctoral and Habilitation Centre. The information shall include:

- a) the planned number of students to be admitted;
- b) information on tuition fees and allowances;
- c) the admission requirements, with particular regard to the evaluation of the entrance examination and the principles of ranking applied;
- d) the amount of the admission fee and information on its payment;
- e) other information specified in the decree and necessary for applicants.

(4) The application form (DR Appendix 2) is available in electronic form on the University's website. The deadline for applications is in line with the relevant calls for applications (e.g., mid-year, Stipendium Hungaricum admission period).

(5) In addition to the documents specified in DR 10. § (3), the submitted application must contain the following:

- a) in the case of individual applicants, a statement of support from their workplace;
- b) other documents (e.g., recommendations, patents, inventions, etc.).

(6) Pursuant to Section 10 (3) ad) of the DR, applicants must prepare a research topic outline to demonstrate their suitability, knowledge of the chosen topic, and commitment to developing the topic and fulfilling the doctoral requirements. In this outline, the applicant must present the purpose of the research, the relevant literature, the problem to be investigated, the research methods to be used, and the expected results. The preparation of an application compiled in accordance with the specified criteria is a prerequisite for admission to the oral admission interview. The application may be submitted in Hungarian or English. The formal and content requirements are set out in Appendix 2 of the DR. The language requirement for admission is a complex language exam at least at B2 level in any modern foreign language, in accordance with Section 14(1)(a) of the DR.

(7) Applications must be submitted to the Doctoral and Habilitation Centre (DR Section 10) in the form specified in the call for applications. Failure to meet the deadline will result in exclusion from the admission process. The UDHC shall check the submitted application from a formal point of view and, if necessary, request the applicant to remedy any deficiencies by a specified deadline.

(8) The admission interview shall be conducted in accordance with Section 11 of the DR. In consultation with the UDHC, the admission interviews shall take place at a time and place agreed with the head of the DS. The CDSFS scientific secretary shall notify applicants in writing of the date of the admission interview. The admission interviews shall be conducted by the DS Admission Committee, which shall consist of at least three members. The CDSFS shall propose the admission committee(s) to the UDHC from among the current members of the CDSFS. The purpose of the interview is to give the committee an idea of the candidate's personal and professional qualities, their ideas regarding their doctoral work, their academic activities to date, and their language skills. During the admission interview, the doctoral candidate presents the problem to be examined, their preliminary topic, and demonstrates their proficiency in the literature. The rules and evaluation of the admission interview are set out in the MATE Doctoral Regulations. At the admission interview, the Admission Committee evaluates the applicants in accordance with DSZ 11. § (4)-(8).

(9) If the applicant is not in Hungary or is unable to appear in person, it is possible to conduct the admission interview online.

(10) In the case of applications for foreign-language programs, language proficiency will also be assessed during the admission interview. For applicants to foreign-language programs, the evaluation criteria and scoring system are as follows:

- Language use: 30 points
- Professional competence: 60 points
- Motivation: 10 points

(11) In the case of scholarships announced by the Tempus Public Foundation (Stipendium Hungaricum, Christian Young Scholarship, etc.), the application, interview, and scoring of applicants

shall be carried out in the manner prescribed by the Tempus Public Foundation. The interview shall be organized online.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 14 (1), persons who have prepared for the degree individually may also enrol in doctoral training, as specified in Section 17 of the DR. This is regulated in Section 3.5.

3.2 Admission decision

16. §

(1) The admission decision procedure is set out in Section 12 of the DR.

3.3 Doctoral training

17. §

(1) The general provisions of the DS doctoral training are laid down in Section 13 of the DR.

(2) DStraining is based on the Training Plan. The Training Plan includes:

- a) the purpose of the training;
- b) a list of subjects and instructors;
- c) the study conditions (requirements, curriculum, progress monitoring).

3.3.1 Subjects in the doctoral training

18. §

(1) The CDSFS decides on the acceptance of subjects into the training programme and on the review and updating of the syllabus. The CDSFS shall review the syllabus at least every three years, taking into account the comments, expectations, and feedback of students and other interested parties (teachers, external contributors, guest lecturers, labour market participants).

(2) Before the start of each academic year, the CDSFS shall review the list of courses proposed for doctoral training and their instructors and decide on their acceptance or rejection. The UDHC doctoral advisor shall announce the accepted courses in the NEPTUN system and publish them on the DS website.

(3) Attendance at and participation in classes according to the timetable is mandatory for full-time students. If the instructor is unable to attend, the substitute or replacement plan must be agreed upon with the head of the DS.

(4) For each course, the course syllabus and requirements must be developed, which will be reviewed in advance by the CDSFS, which will decide on their acceptance based on its review. For

each subject, the syllabus and requirements, including the required and recommended literature and teaching materials, must be communicated to the students.

(5) Students participating in doctoral training may undertake teaching and research tasks. Doctoral students performing teaching duties are entitled to the teaching rights specified in Section 35 (1) of the Nftv. The completion of the tasks undertaken shall be certified by the supervisor in consultation with the head of the organizational unit responsible for the given educational activity. The credits for educational and research activities are included in the Training Plan.

3.3.2 The structured training programme

19. §

(1) Doctoral students participating in structured training must register before the start of the first semester and thereafter register every semester in the NEPTUN TR system. Upon enrolment, the enrolled student must sign a declaration that he/she has read the DS regulations and the DS requirements, that he/she is aware of his/her rights and obligations and that he/she agrees to the processing of his/her personal data. Upon enrolment, the doctoral student will receive a university e-mail address, which will be used as a basis for further communication.

(2) The general provisions applicable to doctoral students are contained in § 18 of the Doctoral Regulations.

(3) The rules for the eight semester and individual preparatory training are contained in §§ 15, 16 and 17 of the Doctoral Regulations.

(4) The unit of study requirements is the study point (credit). A credit is a unit of study, research and (if the student is undertaking) teaching work aimed at fulfilling the obligations of doctoral students in doctoral studies. A doctoral student is required to complete a total of

- a) 240 credits in the PhD training,
- b) 180 credits in the DBA training during the entire training period, in accordance with the Doctoral Regulations and the DS Training Plan.

(5) If the doctoral student - through his/her own fault - does not obtain the required minimum number of 8 credits in a given semester, the DSC shall decide on the suspension of the doctoral scholarship and on the reclassification to a self-financed status. If the doctoral student fails to make up the shortfall within one year, the DSC may decide to terminate the student's status.

(6) Other provisions are outlined in the Training Plan.

(7) No credits may be obtained in doctoral studies through language learning.

(8) The output requirement for the doctoral student's research activity

- a) in the PhD programme is at least 2 scientific articles published in journals listed in the

journal list of Section IV and IX of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, or in journals recognised by Scopus or the Web of Science database, at least one of which must be in English and must be published in a journal recognised by Scopus or Web of Science database,

- b) in the case of DBA training is at least one scientific article in a foreign language published in a journal recognized by the Scopus or Web of Science database with a Q1-Q2 rating.

(9) A given publication may be taken into account for a maximum of two doctoral students in the minimum publication requirements.

(10) The majority of the credits required during the doctoral studies shall be research and publication credits. Following the complex examination, the research credits are certified on the basis of the scientific publication performance and the research work performed, which is approved and certified by the supervisor in the NEPTUN TR system.

(11) No credits may be earned through teaching activities during the training and research phase, but a maximum of 6 credits per semester (24 credits in total) may be earned during the dissertation phase.

(12) The detailed rules for credit acquisition are set out in the Training Plan.

3.3.3 The complex examination

20. §

(1) The complex examination shall be a summative overview of the doctoral student's knowledge in the field of research.

(2) The conditions for the complex examination are set out in Article 12/A of Government Decree 387/2012 (XII. 19.) and regulated by Article 23 of the Doctoral Regulations.

(3) Applications for the complex examination must be submitted in writing via the Neptun system (§ 23 of the Doctoral Regulations), except for individual candidates who apply using the application form in Appendix 4b of the Doctoral Regulations. As the student enters the degree conferment procedure after passing the complex examination, the application for the complex examination also means the application for the degree conferment procedure at the same time.

(4) The prerequisite for participation in the complex examination is that the doctoral student must have acquired at least 90 credits during the first four semesters of his/her doctoral studies, including all the training credits as required by the DSC, and have at least 1 publication in accordance with the publication requirements for the degree. A prerequisite for passing the complex examination is that the supervisor of the doctoral candidate must evaluate in writing the performance of the doctoral candidate and recommend the initiation of the degree procedure.

(5) The complex examination consists of two main parts, the details of which are set out in the DS Training Plan. The complex examination shall be taken in public before a committee. The composition of the committee for the complex examination is set out in the Doctoral Regulations 14§ (3) and (4) and in the Training Plan.

(6) The doctoral student shall be notified of the date of the complex examination, and a record of the complex examination shall be drawn up (Annex 5.b of the D). The complex examination board shall evaluate the two parts of the complex examination separately, according to a two-stage evaluation system, the details of which are set out in the Training Plan. A doctoral student may repeat a failed complex examination once in the same examination period. The examination shall be graded in two stages, pass or fail. The result of the examination shall be announced on the day of the examination.

(7) Doctoral candidates shall submit their doctoral dissertation within three years of the complex examination, both in the case of structured training and in the case of individual preparation.

(8) Doctoral students participating in the Doctor of Business Administration programme are not required to have a published article in order to be admitted to the comprehensive exam.

3.3.4 Absolutorium (pre-degree certificate)

21. §

The doctoral student shall be conferred a diploma upon successful completion of

- a) eight semesters and a minimum of 240 credits in the PhD programme,
- b) six semesters and 180 credits in the DBA programme.

The diploma shall attest that the doctoral candidate has fulfilled the study and research obligations of the doctoral programme. According to the provisions of the Act on Higher Education, the higher education institution issues a final certificate (absolutorium) to doctoral students who have completed the required credits in the doctoral programme. The detailed procedure for issuing the diploma is laid down in § 15(2) of the Doctoral Regulations.

3.4 Suspension and termination of student status

22. §

(1) The general provisions applicable to doctoral students are set out in § 18 of the Doctoral regulations.

(2) Continuous student status is conditional on:

- a) per semester: minimum 8 credits;
- b) in the first two active semesters: a minimum of 20 credits;
- c) in the training and research phase: minimum 90 credits in the PhD programme and at

least 80 credits in the DBA programme and the successful completion of the complex examination.

(3) The interruption of the period of study on the basis of appropriate reasons shall be determined by the Act on Higher Education § 53 (4).

(4) Student status shall be terminated

- a) at the end of the fourth semester of doctoral studies, if the doctoral student fails the complex examination;
- b) by obtaining an absolutorium;
- c) on self-declaration;
- d) at the end of the eighth semester of the doctoral programme for which the student is registered.

(5) Doctoral students may participate in part-time studies abroad. The doctoral student may participate in the part-time study on the basis of a work programme approved by the subject supervisor, which ensures the validity of the study period in the doctoral training programme of the university. The duration of the part-time study abroad is included in the duration of the doctoral studies, the student's status is not interrupted, and the state scholarship is paid.

3.5 Individual preparation for a doctoral degree. Transfer from another doctoral school

23. §

(1) According to Section 17 of the MATE DR, it is also possible to obtain a doctoral degree on the basis of individual preparation. The publication requirements for individual preparation are the same as the minimum publication requirements for submitting a doctoral dissertation, and the DR scientific secretary checks that these are met. A further requirement is that the submitted thesis draft must reach a minimum level of 80% completion, which is verified by the supervisor. Obtaining a degree based on individual preparation is an exceptional procedure and may only be applied in particularly justified cases. These reasons must be recorded in writing by the CDSFS during the admission procedure. Individual preparation is not possible within the framework of the DBA programme.

(2) Upon acceptance of the application, the doctoral student must also apply for the comprehensive examination by submitting Appendix 4b of the DR. The CDSFS appoints the comprehensive examination committee and subjects (included in the training plan), and the individual preparer must take the comprehensive examination at the end of the semester in which the application was accepted. Upon successful completion of the comprehensive

examination, the CDSFS shall recognize the minimum credits specified as a condition for admission to the comprehensive examination. Upon request, the CDSFS may also recognize additional credits based on previously acquired knowledge and competencies.

(3) Upon application, the CDSFS shall assign a supervisor to the individual preparer, or, at the request of the Candidate, shall assign a supervisor from among the professionally competent DS supervisors, who shall undertake to supervise the Candidate by signing the application form, monitor and assist the Candidate's preparation.

(4) Individual preparers fulfil their study obligations by successfully completing the comprehensive exam. Individual preparers are not required to earn educational credits, but may participate in the study sessions of doctoral schools.

(5) The student status of individual preparatory students is established upon enrolment in the semester following the successful completion of the comprehensive examination.

(6) The maximum number of semesters for the research and dissertation writing phase may be shortened in particularly justified cases (e.g., successful completion of a workshop discussion). Individual preparatory students are required to submit their doctoral dissertation for the degree awarding procedure within three academic years of passing the comprehensive examination (admission).

(7) In the case of transfer from another doctoral school, the doctoral student shall submit their intention to transfer to the Doctoral School. The application must be accompanied by a certified extract from the electronic transcript, a professional CV, a summary of academic achievements to date, a recommendation from the DS supervisor, and a research plan. The UDHC shall decide on the transfer after preliminary review by the CDSFS.

3.6 Topic announcement, change of supervisor and topic

24. §

(1) The DS shall invite proposals and announce PhD topics every academic year (every semester in the case of cross-semester announcements). The DSC shall evaluate all new topic proposals submitted to it and shall only agree to the publication of those proposals for which the following conditions are simultaneously met:

- a) a new research result on the topic, preferably of interest to the international scientific community, is available within four years;
- b) there are no ethical, legal or intellectual property constraints on the achievement and/or communication of these results;
- c) the intellectual and infrastructural background is available at MATE or at the research

centre where the dissertation is being written. Co-supervision and research at an external research centre is possible at institutions with a cooperation agreement with the doctoral school, where the conditions necessary for the achievement of the doctoral student's research objectives are available and the research centre undertakes to provide them; The decision will be communicated to the parties concerned by the DS Scientific Secretary.

(2) The titles of the PhD and Business Administration research topics and the names of the supervisors are announced on the website of the DS and on the website of the National Doctoral Council www.doktori.hu, following the DSC's resolution, on the basis of the proposals received in writing by the deadline for the academic year or the cross semester, or the Stipendium Hungaricum and other scholarship applications. The supervisors will submit a short and detailed topic description. Short topic proposals should be summarised in a maximum of 500 characters, including a short title, the field of research and the problem area. A detailed topic description should be written in up to 2 000 characters and should include:

- a) the title of the topic;
- b) a definition of the problem under study; a more detailed description of the topic a definition of the discipline, a definition of the location;
- c) the international and national novelty of the proposed work;
- d) the expected method of the research.

(3) The topic may be written in Hungarian or English.

(4) A change of topic may be made once until the complex examination at the initiative of the supervisor or the doctoral student. The doctoral student shall submit the request for the change to the scientific secretary of the DS. The DSC will decide on the change of topic.

(5) The studies and research work of the doctoral student shall be supervised by the supervisor. The doctoral student may, for duly justified reasons, request the DSC to appoint a new supervisor once during the entire period of training. A change of supervisor is possible for a variety of objective (leave of absence, death, etc.) and subjective (communication difficulties, loss of confidence, etc.) reasons, at the written initiative of both the supervisor and the doctoral student. The request must include the name of the new supervisor(s). The application must be signed by both the current supervisor(s) and the proposed supervisor(s) and the doctoral student.

PART 4: OBTAINING THE DOCTORAL DEGREE

4.1 Obtaining the doctoral degree

25. §

(1) The process of obtaining a doctoral degree is regulated by Section 19 of the Regulations.

(2) The conditions of obtaining a doctoral degree are regulated by Section 19 of the DSZ.

4.2 The doctoral dissertation

26. §

(1) In the case of a PhD degree, the general rules for the doctoral dissertation are laid down in Article 24 of the Doctoral Regulations.

(2) The doctoral dissertation may be submitted in accordance with Article 24 of the Doctoral Regulations if the student has obtained the pre-doctoral degree certificate (absolutorium) during the structured training programme, has completed the preliminary disputation phase and fulfilled the publication requirements of the relevant DS training programme. The submission of the dissertation is subject to the presentation of the minutes of the preliminary disputation. The doctoral dissertation must be submitted no later than three academic years after the complex examination.

(3) In the case of individual preparation, the submission of a dissertation is subject to the complex examination, the fulfilment of the language and publication requirements for the degree.

(4) The doctoral dissertation may be submitted if the student has no pending degree in the field of management and business administration and regional science and has not had an unsuccessful doctoral disputation within two years.

(5) The formal and content requirements of the doctoral dissertation are set out in Annex 6 of the Doctoral Regulations. A template for the preparation of the dissertation is available to doctoral students on the DS website. The dissertation may be written in Hungarian or English.

(6) Students enrolled in a Hungarian-language programme must attach an extract of the dissertation (thesis booklet) in Hungarian and English to their dissertation. The thesis booklet shall present a summary of the results of the independent scientific work. The results should be presented in a coherent and self-explanatory manner, with new findings presented in detail, building on the candidate's professional publications. The formal requirements for the dissertation are set out in Annex 6 of the Doctoral Regulations. A template for the preparation of the dissertation is available to students on the DS website. The dissertation is written in Hungarian and English.

(7) The Candidate shall have the completed dissertation checked by the competent staff member of the Library. The results of the concordance check and a brief assessment of the results will be sent by the Library to the Secretary of the DS and must be enclosed with the dissertation at the same time as the dissertation and the thesis booklet are sent to the reviewers. The reviewers will also declare their review of the dissertation during the evaluation process.

(8) In the case of suspected plagiarism, the head of the DS must be informed immediately. The University's rules on ethical misconduct and plagiarism are set out in Chapter 6, Section 60 of the University Regulations for Studies and Examinations.

4.2.1 Preliminary disputation

27. §

(1) According to Doctoral Regulations 24§ (1)b), the dissertation shall be submitted for a preliminary disputation before submission, where the Candidate shall present the draft of the dissertation.

(2) The organisation and announcement of the preliminary disputation is the responsibility of the supervisor and the Candidate, and the DS Scientific Secretary shall assist the supervisor in this task. The minimum requirement is that the preliminary disputation should be attended by at least 5 experts with scientific degrees and expertise in the field of the discipline concerned. An attendance sheet must be drawn up for all participants, indicating their academic degree and place of work. The Candidate's supervisor will propose the reviewers for the preliminary disputation to the Head of the DS. At least one reviewer must not be employed by the University. The reviewers must hold an academic degree. If the supervisor and the DS leader do not reach a consensus on the identity of the reviewers, the DSC will decide on the persons to be invited. The preliminary disputation will be chaired by a habilitated associate professor, college professor or university lecturer with full-time employment with the university and expertise in the subject of the doctoral dissertation, invited by the head of the relevant doctoral programme. The chair of the preliminary disputation must also be a member of the public disputation committee.

(3) The Candidate shall send the dissertation and the thesis booklet in electronic form to the Head of the DS and the Scientific Secretary of the DS. The Scientific Secretary of the DS shall send the dissertation to the Library for peer review, and the Library shall send a peer review report to the Secretary. The DS Secretary checks in the MTMT whether the doctoral student has met the publication minimum. The DS supervisor checks the dissertation for compliance with the requirements of the doctoral dissertation and reports back to the doctoral student and the supervisor within 10 working days on approval or possible corrections. In case of completion, the dissertation will be sent to the reviewers recommended by the supervisor and approved by the DS supervisor. A maximum of 30 days is allowed for the preparation of the reviewer's opinion for the preliminary disputation. Once the reviewer's comments have been received, the DS leader will arrange a date for the preliminary disputation with the supervisor and invite the chair, members and the secretary of the committee. The date of the preliminary disputation shall be announced at least one week in advance.

(4) The preliminary disputation shall follow the scenario set out in Appendix 1. The course of the disputation and, in particular, the opinions and findings relating to the revision of the dissertation shall be recorded in the minutes. The reviewer's opinion shall be annexed to the minutes.

- (5) In the preliminary disputation, the committee decides whether the dissertation
- a) is suitable for submission for public scrutiny in unchanged form;
 - b) with modifications, with further changes, including a change of title, is suitable for submission without further preliminary disputation;
 - c) a new preliminary is required before the dissertation is submitted.

In the case of a major revision of the dissertation, the preliminary disputation must be repeated.

4.3 Public disputation

28. §

(1) General rules on public disputation are set out in Article 24 of the Doctoral Regulations. The documents required for organizing the public disputation are

- a) as listed by Annex 4c of the University Doctoral Regulations;
- b) a co-author's statement indicating the percentage of publications that can be considered (Appendix 2).

(2) The proposal for the public disputation committee shall be submitted by the doctoral student's supervisor to the DSC, which shall submit them to the UDHC for approval after its resolution. The rules for the composition of the evaluation committee are laid down in Doctoral Regulations 25§ (2)-(3). One of the two official reviewers shall be an external invitee not employed by the University. No more than one of the official reviewers may be the same as the reviewer of the preliminary disputation.

(3) 4 bound copies of the dissertation signed by the supervisor and the head of the DS, 15 copies of the thesis booklet in Hungarian, 1 copy of the thesis booklet in English must be submitted to the Centre for Doctoral and Habilitation Studies. In accordance with the requirements of the Doctoral Regulations, doctoral candidates must also upload their publications to the publication database of MTMT to prove their independent scientific work. The publication list must be included at the end of the thesis booklets.

(4) At the time of the announcement of the public disputation, the dissertation and the thesis booklet will be made public on www.doktori.hu, on www.uni-mate.hu and on the DS website. The date of the public disputation shall be agreed with the members of the committee by the candidate's supervisor. In determining the date of the disputation, it should be taken into account that a period of at least two weeks must elapse between the announcement of the dissertation and the public disputation, which is called the announcement period.

(5) After the submission of the dissertation, the reviewers shall receive the dissertation and the thesis booklet in electronic form, and on request also in printed form. Pursuant to Article 24 (5) of the Doctoral Regulations, the duly submitted dissertation is sent by the Centre for Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies to the reviewers, who, within two academic months of the request,

prepare a written evaluation of the dissertation and the theses and state whether they recommend that it be submitted for public disputation. Two signed copies of the evaluation must be sent to the Centre for Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies. The criteria for the evaluation of the doctoral dissertation are set out in Appendix 6 of the Doctoral Regulations.

(6) The Candidate will receive the evaluations in advance and will have a maximum of one month to reply. He/she shall send his/her written answers to the questions posed in the written answers to the Centre for Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies organising the defence and shall ensure that the members of the evaluation committee receive the reviewers' opinions in electronic form after receipt of both evaluations and the Candidate's answers to them at the latest 10 days before the disputation. The dissertation sent to the reviewers cannot be subsequently modified. If the committee objects to technically incorrect issues or do not accept thesis points, the relevant part of the committee minutes will be appended to the dissertation (also in electronic form) and the defended dissertation will be published with this appendix (library, etc.).

(7) The dissertation may be submitted for public disputation only if there are two supporting proposals. If the proposal of one of the reviewers is negative, the UDHC President invites the substitute of the accepted reviewer (internal substitute instead of internal reviewer, external substitute instead of external reviewer) to referee the dissertation. In the case of two negative evaluations, the conferment procedure is terminated by the UDHC President. In the case of two negative reviews or an unsuccessful disputation, a new disputation procedure may be initiated at the earliest after two years, and at the latest once for the same doctoral topic. The President of the UDHC shall invite the Chair, Secretary and members of the Committee to take into account the opinions of the reviewers and the replies of the Candidate.

(8) The dissertation must be submitted for public disputation within two months of the receipt of the two supporting reviews within the academic period. The rules for the date of the public disputation of the dissertation are set out in Doctoral Regulations 25§ (5). The dates of the public disputation are published on the websites of the DS, MATE and the National Doctoral Council.

(9) The dissertation may be defended in Hungarian by native Hungarian-speaking doctoral students, however, upon the request of the supervisor, the dissertation may also be defended in English with the permission of the UDHC. If the dissertation is written in a foreign language and the defence is also in a foreign language, the evaluation must be written in a foreign language. The relevant rules are set out in Doctoral Regulations 25§ (6).

(10) The Chair of the committee shall conduct the public disputation. The procedure for the public disputation is set out in Doctoral Regulations 25§ (9) - (10). Except in the case of public disputation, the candidate is excluded from the doctoral procedure and may not take part in the examination of the merits of the case or be present at the hearing of the case,

- a) who is the applicant's direct supervisor or a subordinate, employee in the same organisational unit (the same unit is deemed to be the same department, institute department, research group or applied research group, but not the university, its institute, research institute, museum or other institution) or a relative as defined in Section 8:1 of the Civil Code;

- b) in addition to the above, the applicant must not be an official reviewer or a member of the evaluating committee who is a co-author of any work used in the doctoral dissertation on which the procedure is based;
- c) or who for other reasons cannot be expected to give an objective assessment of the candidate.

(11) After the disputation, the Committee shall decide on the doctoral dissertation, the candidate's independent scientific work and his/her performance in the doctoral examination by secret ballot in a closed session. The procedure and rules for the evaluation are set out in Doctoral Regulations 25§ (11).

(12) The minutes of the public disputation shall be drawn up in accordance with Annex 5.c) of the Doctoral Regulations. The decisions of the committee and the reasons for them shall be recorded in the minutes. At the request of the Candidate, the President of the UDHC may issue a certificate on the outcome of the public disputation.

(13) If the dissertation is related to national security or involves delayed disclosure (protection of intellectual property, patenting, etc.), it is necessary to ensure appropriate confidentiality throughout the public disputation, both for the parties involved and for all participants in the proceedings. In such a case, the Candidate shall submit a preliminary request, on which the UDHC shall decide whether a closed session may be ordered, after having sought the opinion of the panel. The Candidate shall document the support for the request for secrecy with appropriate declarations.

4.4 Completion of the degree award procedure, classification of the doctoral degree, content of the doctoral diploma, doctoral conferral, conferral of honorary doctorates, revocation of doctoral degrees

29. §

(1) The UDHC shall decide on the award and classification of doctoral (PhD) and business doctoral (DBA) degrees on the basis of the report of the examination committee and the proposal submitted by the CDSFS in accordance with the minutes. The doctoral degree award procedure shall be concluded by a decision of the UDHC, and the award of the doctoral degree shall be announced in a resolution of the UDHC.

(2) The degree award procedure shall be successful if the examination committee has deemed the candidate's dissertation, independent scientific work, and performance at the debate to be satisfactory. The rules for the classification of doctoral degrees are set out in Section 26 of the DR.

(3) The content of the doctoral diploma and the process of conferring the doctoral degree are set out in Section 27 of the DR. The degree certificate shall be issued by the university in Hungarian and English.

(4) The rules and procedure for conferring a doctorate with honours are set out in Section 28 of the DR.

(5) The rules for revoking a doctoral degree are set out in Section 33 of the DR.

4.5 Language requirements

30. §

The language requirements for obtaining a degree are set out in Section 14 of the DR. If the doctoral candidate does not meet the entry language requirement in English, he or she must present a complex, state-recognized language exam at least at the A2 level in English before the degree award procedure can begin.

4.6 The habilitation procedure

31. §

(1) The habilitation procedure is regulated by the University Habilitation Regulations (UHR).

(2) The scientific performance of applicants for the habilitation procedure must meet 50% of the minimum scientometric requirements specified by the relevant academic department of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences for the discipline chosen by the applicant, in accordance with Section 6 of the University Habilitation Regulations. Only data registered in the Hungarian Scientific Works Repository (MTMT) shall be accepted as proof of the applicant's scientometric data. The procedure is set out in the University Habilitation Regulations, but the CDSFS must approve the expert committee and the topics of the Hungarian and foreign-language presentations for the candidate, which are approved by the UHC

PART 5: OTHER PROVISIONS

32. §

The DSERS has an official stamp, which is circular with a simple frame and the coat of arms of Hungary in the centre. The name of the University, the name of the Doctoral School, and the stamp identification number are printed around the edge.

5.1 Quality assurance

33. §

- (1) The detailed quality assurance activities of the DS are set out in the Quality Assurance Plan, which is PDCA-based and follows ESG requirements, in line with the Institutional Development Plan and the Institutional Quality Regulations.
- (2) The head of the DS is responsible for the quality assurance activities of the DS, assisted by the secretary of the DS. The scientific secretary of the DS ensures communication between the institutional level and the DS, and is responsible for the communication and coordination of quality assurance operational tasks at the DS level. His or her work is supervised by the head of the DS. The head of the DS reports annually to the CDSFS and the UDHC on the performance of the DS and the effectiveness of its quality assurance activities.
- (3) In connection with the educational activities of the DS, a student evaluation of teaching (OMHV) must be carried out every semester. The purpose of the student evaluation of teaching is to contribute to raising the standard of education at the DS by finding out the opinions of students, to increase the effectiveness of training, provide an opportunity for the management and teaching staff of the DS to learn about the assessment of their work, and help to eliminate any errors and shortcomings that have been identified. The detailed rules for conducting the OMHV are set out in the Quality Assurance Plan. The OMHV also includes student evaluations of the teaching activities of doctoral students.
- (4) In connection with the work of DS supervisors, student evaluations of their work must be carried out each academic year. The purpose of the student evaluation of the work of supervisors is to contribute to raising the standard of supervision at the DS by learning the opinions of students, to increase the efficiency of research work, provide an opportunity to evaluate the work of the DS management and supervisors, and help to eliminate any errors and shortcomings that have been identified. The detailed rules for conducting student evaluations of the work of supervisors are set out in the Quality Assurance Plan.
- (5) The satisfaction and opinions of doctoral students regarding the operation of the DS are also surveyed annually. The questionnaire and measurement rules related to this are available in the Quality Assurance Plan.
- (6) The DS annually surveys the opinions of its partners, research participants, and financiers. Based on the data received, a stakeholder analysis is prepared on the role of the DS in science and the analysis of its socio-economic impact for the purpose of operational development. The related questionnaire and measurement rules are available in the Quality Assurance Plan.
- (7) The DS operates an ALUMNI system for the purpose of tracking the careers of graduate doctoral students and maintaining contact with them. This also provides an opportunity for feedback from former doctoral students to form an integral part of quality assurance, and we also inform

supervisors and lecturers about this. The DS's scientific secretary is responsible for keeping records of graduates and maintaining contact with them.

5.2 Joint doctoral programme with a foreign university

34. §

Within the doctoral school, joint doctoral programmes may be organized with foreign universities, which are aimed at the joint training of students admitted to the program, the exchange of teachers, joint supervision, and joint degrees or double degrees, as well as support for individual degree acquisition. The provisions of these Regulations shall apply to the preparation and approval of joint doctoral programs, with the provision that, following the Senate's decision to approve the doctoral programme, the Rector shall conclude a cooperation agreement on joint doctoral training with the foreign university participating in the joint training. The joint programme may be launched after the agreement and the program have been registered in accordance with Section 78 (5) of the Nftv.

5.3 Management of the Doctoral School

35. §

(1) The head of the DS is responsible for the management of the DS and has the authority to approve payments. The financial framework of the DS is based on the budget approved by the UDHC and consists of state subsidies paid to scholarship holders, fees paid by doctoral students, and procedural fees. According to the university's commitment rules, financial responsibility lies with the person making the commitment, who is the president of the UHC, while the head of the DS has professional responsibility.

(2) The DBA programme operates with a separate financial centre, from which the programme manager can authorize payments.

6 Final provisions

(1) These Regulation shall be published on the DI website.

(2) In matters not specified in this Regulations, the provisions of the current UDHR shall apply.

(3) The amendment of this Regulation was adopted by the Senate in its Resolution No. 163/2025 (IX. 01.) on 1 September 2025. The amendments shall enter into force on the day of their adoption, following the conclusion of the voting.

Gödöllő, 1 September 2025.

Prof. Dr. Csaba Gyuricza

rector



PROCEDURE ORDER OF THE PRELIMINARY DISPUTATION

Chairperson: The chairperson opens the debate and declares that the Council of the Doctoral School of Economic and Regional Sciences has arranged a preliminary disputation to evaluate the doctorate (PhD) dissertation of

entitled PhD student
.....

Chairperson: The chairperson declares that the Head of the Doctoral School nominated the following experts with academic degree to act as:

Chairperson:

- to chair the debate,

Secretary:

- to take the minutes of the debate,

Opponents:

-
-

Legal barriers: The Board shall not comprise a member who is a close relative of the doctoral candidate, who is the applicant's immediate supervisor or subordinate, or who is a staff member in the same organizational unit (the same unit is defined as the same department, institute section, research group or grant research group, but not as a university or its institute, a research institute, museum or other institution); in addition to the above, the Board shall not comprise a reviewer or member who is a co-author of any work used by the candidate in the doctoral dissertation submitted for disputation.

Chairperson: The chairperson rules that the debate may proceed as

- a. there are more than five experts present with academic degrees;
- b. both reviewers are present (or one reviewer is present and the supporting evaluation of the other reviewer is also available);
- c. the candidate has fulfilled the prerequisites as
 - the candidate has the minimum required publications,
 - the candidate has submitted his/her dissertation and theses in accordance with the regulations.

Chairperson: The chairperson asks those present if they have any questions or comments on the opening of the debate; if not, the debate shall commence.

Chairperson: The chairperson asks the Candidate to introduce the objectives of the doctoral dissertation, as well as to present the research methods and new results of his/her research work in the form of a free lecture, in a time frame of 20 minutes.

Chairperson: The chairperson asks the reviewers to summarize their evaluation on the doctoral dissertation. (If only one reviewer is present, the evaluation of the reviewer absent shall be read out by the secretary.)

-
-

Chairperson: The chairperson asks if the attending colleagues wish to pose questions to the candidate or to make comments on the debate.

Chairperson: The chairperson gives opportunity to each attending colleague to speak if such intent is indicated.

Chairperson: The supervisor is given opportunity to make comments as the final speaker.

Chairperson: The qualified members of the audience (experts with academic degree) shall form an opinion on whether the dissertation can be submitted for public disputation.

Closing remarks of the chairperson:

A concise evaluation of the candidate's work with regard to:

- whether the dissertation fits into the discipline of the doctoral school,
- methodology,
- literature, technical terminology,
- independent scientific performance,
- publications.

Declaration of co-authorship

Name of the PhD candidate:

I, the undersigned co-author, hereby declare that I am familiar with the dissertation of the candidate.

The results referred to therein (and reported in the published co-authored work) are

- the results of a joint work with the candidate, and
- the candidate has been a key contributor to achieving the results of the co-authored work.

I have no objection to the use of the co-authored work in the dissertation of the candidate.

Title of the co-authored work:	
Authors:	
Journal, year of publication, volume, range of pages	

	Name of the co-author	Extent of author contribution	Signature
1.	%	
2.	%	

Place and date:, day month year